



SILENCE BECOMES CONSENT — SO SPEAK UP

FORM VS SUBSTANCE

THIS WRITING IS NOT DIRECTED AGAINST ANY INDIVIDUAL; IT IS AN ANALYSIS OF THE SOCIAL IMPACT OF A GENERAL MINDSET AND BEHAVIOR. THE PURPOSE IS AWARENESS AND ACCOUNTABILITY ONLY.

Submitted by: Muhammad Kashif Irshad

Email: dev@IrshadOS.com

December 19, 2025



Gift Apps & E-Books



IrshadOS.com

Silence Becomes Consent—That's Why "Stay Quiet" Is Not Acceptable

Today, two events in a single day made one lesson crystal clear.

1) What happened in the group today

Today, I made a simple request in the society group:

Share the progress report against the AGM-approved annual agenda — with numbering and percentages.

Meaning:

- What percentage of the overall agenda has been completed?
- What percentage has been completed for each agenda item individually?

- Which items are delayed, why, and what is the revised timeline?

This is not a personal demand — it is **mandate reporting**.

But the response reflected the same old culture:

“Let it pass” — “Just tolerate it” — “Stay quiet.”

2) The same day, a court news report also surfaced

Urdu Point – News:

<https://www.urdupoint.com/daily/livenews/2025-12-18/news-4654015.html>

On the same day, I read a court-related news report in which it was reported that the court noted factors such as:

- No signs of resistance were found in the medical report (no clear marks of violence or wounds).

- The affected woman's clothes were not presented as evidence, and it was not proven that the clothes were torn.
- Although the incident reportedly happened near a residential area, no alarm was raised and no one was called for help.
- For several months after the incident, no action was taken and it was not even discussed with family.
- The FIR was registered approximately **7 months** later.

Based on such points, the impression highlighted in the report was that if there is no immediate response, resistance, alarm, or timely reporting, the matter may later be viewed through the angle of "**consent**" — and

in some legal framing, this can even open discussion of harsh legal consequences concerning the complainant as well.

The real lesson (what we must take for the society context)

I am not taking sides in any case. My focus is only on this social reality:

Silence and delay can later be used as evidence against you.

If you did not speak up at the time, did not object, did not raise an alarm, or did not create a record — later the question becomes:

“Why didn’t you speak up then?”

That is exactly why “let it pass” and “just tolerate it” is dangerous advice.

It may look like it creates peace, but in reality it:

- empowers wrongdoing,
- kills accountability,
- and later becomes a harmful argument against the person who stayed quiet.

Why silence is damaging in the Abdalians matter

Because our demand is simple and administrative/legal in nature:

Share progress reporting against the AGM-approved agenda.

This is neither rebellion nor stubbornness — it is a basic requirement of governance.

If we stay quiet on this, tomorrow the same silence will be treated as “acceptance,” and unclear or

incorrect reporting will become normal. Then it becomes easy to suppress public voice and accountability disappears.

Conclusion

So we are stating this clearly:

We will not stay silent.

We will speak up — and we will do so because it is not only our right, but also our responsibility.

And our voice will be:

- respectful,
- in writing,
- in numbered points,
- and strictly centered around the AGM mandate.

Because if we are not participants in wrongdoing, then timely objection, record-keeping, and principled resistance is the only path that keeps us aligned with law, principles, and truth.

Captions/Notes for the Attached Screenshots

Today

»» Forwarded

موجودہ ایم سی کی شیئر کی گئی پروگریس ریورٹ
بھیں سمجھے نہیں آئی ۔ اس کی وجہ سادہ ہے: ایم
سے قانون ساز یا اپنی مرضی کی ذاتیکشن طے کرنے
والی کمیٹی نہیں، یہ ایک ایگریکیوٹنگ بادی ہے۔

ممبران نے جو سالانہ ایجنڈا دیا تھا وہ اے جی ایم
میں اکٹھیت رائی سے منظور بوا، اور وہی اس کمیٹی
کے لئے مینڈیٹ اور وراثت ہے۔ اس لئے جب آپ
پروگریس شیئر کریں تو وہ صرف اے جی ایم سے
منظور شدہ ایجنڈا کے مطابق ہونی چاہیے:

مجموعی طور پر ایجنڈا کتنے فیصد مکمل ہوا؟

بر ایجنڈا آئم کی الگ الگ کتنی فیصد امپلیمینٹیشن
ہوئی؟

کن آئنہز پر تاخیر ہے، کیوں ہے، اور نیا ٹائم لانم کیا
ہے؟

اس کے برعکس، ذاتی رائی، پارٹی منشور، یا ذہنی
وراثتی فہرست کے مطابق سرگرمیاں ۔ چاہے وہ
صیملی گیدرنگ ہو، یا ڈونیشن کی گئی کرسیاں رکھ

 Message


A numbered, percentage-based progress report was requested against the AGM-approved agenda, because the committee is not a law-making body — it is an executing body.

دینا - اگر اے جی ایم ایجنڈا میں منظور نہیں تھیں تو وہ ہمارے لیے "پروگریس" نہیں کھلانیں گی۔ وہ الگ سرگرمی ہو سکتی ہے، مگر اے جی ایم مینڈیٹ کی ریورننگ نہیں۔

یہاں ایک اصولی بات بھی ضروری ہے: پچھلے برسوں میں باہو مصطفیٰ نے بھی بطور ڈونیشن بہت بڑا کام ڈیلیور کیا تھا - خاص طور پر بلاک ہی اور بلاک سی کی مسجد میں، جہاں ملینز روپے کا تعمیراتی کام بطور عظیم کیا گی۔ اس وقت ایم سی نے کبھی ان ڈونیشن کو اپنی "کارکردگی" بنا کر کیڈٹ نہیں لیا تھا۔ اسی لیے آج کس فرد کے ذاتی عطاے کو ایم سی کی کارکردگی کے طور پر رپورٹ میں شامل کرنا درست پیمانہ نہیں بتتا۔

بماری درخواست صرف اتنی ہے: براہ کرم اے جی ایم اپروروڈ ایجنڈا پر واضح، نمبری، فیصد کے ساتھ پروگریس ریورٹ دین - تاکہ بر ممبر کو معلوم ہو کہ اصل مینڈیٹ پر کتنا کام بوا ہے۔

آخر میں ایک گزارش: موجودہ ایم سی اپنے روتے سے خود ہی جج، خود ہی جیوڑی، اور خود ہی ایگزیکیوٹر ... کی کوشش کر رہی ہے - اور یہ بھیں کسی روت منظور نہیں۔

 Message





|||

O

<

Clarification: personal opinions or donations should not be presented as "MC performance." The only valid benchmark is the AGM-approved agenda — with delays, reasons, and revised timelines stated clearly.

Abdalian Informations
9 online

Forwarded

The progress report shared by the current MC didn't make sense to us – for a simple reason: the MC is not a law-making body or a "direction-setting" committee based on personal preference; it is an executing body.

The annual agenda given by the members was approved in the AGM (Annual General Meeting) by majority vote, and that is the committee's mandate and inheritance. So when you share progress, it should be aligned only with the AGM-approved agenda:

What overall percentage of the agenda has been completed?

What percentage has been implemented for each agenda item individually?

Which items are delayed, why, and what is the revised timeline?

On the other hand, activities based on personal opinion, party manifesto, or an imagined list – whether it's a family get-together, or placing donated chairs – if these were not approved in the AGM agenda, then for us they are not "progress." They may be separate activities, but they are not AGM-mandate



Message



English summary of the same position, so the message remains equally understandable for every reader.

← Abdalian Informations
9 online



family get-together, or placing donated chairs — if these were not approved in the AGM agenda, then for us they are not “progress.” They may be separate activities, but they are not AGM-mandate reporting.

One more principle matters here: in previous years, Bao Mustafa also delivered major donated work — especially for the B Block and C Block masjids — including construction and improvement work worth millions. At that time, the MC did not take those donations and present them as “MC performance.” So including an individual’s personal donation as MC performance in a report is not a valid measure.

Our request is simple:
Please share a clear, numbered progress report with percentages against the AGM-approved agenda — so every member can see how much work has actually been done on the real mandate.

One final point: based on current behavior, it appears the MC wants to act as judge, jury, and executor all at once — and that is not acceptable to us.

Thank you. Many thanks.
Residents of Abdalians Cooperative Housing Society

Message



Past example: major donations and construction work happened before as well, yet they were not reported as MC “performance.” The benchmark should remain the same today.


 Abdalian Informations
9 online

100% agreed

16:27

 Sahi kahaa aap nay
 Only AGM approved project are
 acceptable

16:29


 ~ Rasool Baksh Chan... +92 333 5525954
 agreed

16:44


 ~ Rao Ashfaq Ali Khan +92 321 4421413
 Agreed

16:51

 Your security code with +92 321 5555887 changed.
 Tap to learn more.


Adeel Khokhar

You

 موجودہ ایم سی کی شیئر کی گئی پروگریس ریورٹ
 بمیں سمجھ نہیں آئی ۔ اس کی وجہ سادہ یہ: ایم
 سی قانون ساز یا اپنی مرضی کی ڈائریکشن طے کر...

سبحان الله۔

واہ جی واہ۔

آپ کے لیے اور آپکے ہم خیال ممکن کے لے

روڈ پر چلتی بسوں کے پیچھے لکھا ہوتا یہ

پاس یا کر برداشت کر۔ 😊😊😊

18:05

 Message


Multiple members agreed in the group: "Only AGM-approved projects are acceptable," while the sarcastic "pass or tolerate" mindset also surfaced.



زیادتی کا مقدمہ زنا بالرخصا میں تبیہل، سپریم کورٹ نے ملزم کی قید میں کمی کر دی

مدعیہ نے کوئی مراجحت نہیں کی کیونکہ میڈیا کل رپورٹ میں تشدد یا زخمیوں کے نشانات موجود نہیں، غالتوں کے کچھ سے بطور ثبوت پیش نہیں کیے گئے، 7 ماہ تک غالتوں نے کوئی کارروائی کی نہ اپنائی سے تذکرہ کیا، فیصلے کا متن

سائب علی ہشتم رات 18 دسمبر 2025 12:16



اسلام آماد اردو لوائنس اخبار تازہ ترین 18 دسمبر 2025 سے یہ کورٹ کا



Court news headline and context: public discussion begins around how silence and lack of immediate response are treated in legal reasoning.



اہلخانہ سے مذراہ لیا، فیصلے کا من



سابد علی ۱۸ نومبر ۲۰۲۵ ۱۲:۱۶



اسلام آباد (اردو پاٹنٹ اخبار تازہ ترین - 18 دسمبر 2025) پر یہ کوٹ کی
بانب سے نیادی کے مقدمہ کو نہ بارہنا میں تہیل کر کے ملزم کو سانسی
بانے والی 20 سال کی سزا کم کر کے 5 سال قیام با مشقت میں تہیل کر دی،
ملزم پر چنان بھی 5 لاکھ سے کم کر کے 10 ہزار روپے کر دیا گیا۔ میڈیا روپ اس
کے مطابق اس حوالے سے جنہیں ملک شہزاد فان کی طرف سے تحریر کرده
6 صفات کا فیصلہ جاری کیا گیا ہے، فیصلے سے جنہیں صلاح الدین پنور
نے اختلاف کی، اسیم فیصلے میں کہا گیا ہے کہ چنانہ ادا شکر نے کی صورت
میں مجرم مذہب 2 ماہ کی قید رہے گا کیون کہ ملزم بخلاف کیسی سب کا نہیں
بلکہ رشمندی سے نہ کا بنتا ہے اور رشمندی سے نہ پر مدعا یہ بھی سزا کی
قدار ہے۔



From the report's framing: silence at the time of wrongdoing, lack of immediate resistance/alarm, and delayed action can be interpreted as a form of voluntary participation/consent — i.e., silence being treated as equivalent to willingly going along with the act.

Urdu Point – News:

<https://www.urdupoint.com/daily/livenews/2025-12-18/news-4654015.html>